THE BENEDICTINES WERE NOT “THE BUILDERS”

OF IONA ABBEY 1205-1250.

IT’S THE KING’S ABBEY AND THE KING’S MASTER MASON.

NOT THE ABBOT’S.

SOMERLED began castle building from 1154.

Claig Castle, Heather I. (S/W off Jura).

The Normans built castles and “added substantially to the number of stone-built abbeys, cathedrals and parish churches”.

“The archaeology of early medieval Ireland”; Edwards, Nancy; 1990. p.192
"Reginald, the son of Somerled, undertook the rebuilding of the monastery” *

KING REGINALD ‘ERECTED’ THE ABBEY;

“.... the likelihood that Reginald's masons were drawn from Ireland,” **

KING REGINALD’S MASTER MASON

(THE DERRY O’BROLCHANS – PRIME WRIGHTS OF IRELAND.)

* David MacGibbon and Thomas Ross; "THE ECCLESIASTICAL ARCHITECTURE OF SCOTLAND"; VOL III; pps 48, 49; 1897. (T. Ross was appointed as a founder commissioner of the Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Scotland, RCAHMS, in 1908.)

IT’S THE **KING’S ABBEY** AND THE **KING’S MASTER MASON**.

Donnachadh (O’Carrol), King of Airghialla, ...

….“provided the territory, land, stone and timber, books, furniture, farming implements and gold”… for Mellifont Abbey; (Annals 1157)

and ...

….“according to tradition it was **MacDonnell Galloglaigh** that commenced the erection of the Armagh monastery.” (Annals 1264)
Saint Benedict of Nursia
(c. 480–543)
THE BENEDICTINES WERE NOT “THE BUILDERS” OF IONA ABBEY 1205-1250.

EACH BENEDICTINE ABBEY:

- WAS COMPLETELY AUTONOMOUS. AN INDEPENDENT, INDIVIDUAL COMMUNITY.
THE BENEDICTINES WERE NOT “THE BUILDERS” OF IONA ABBEY 1205-1250.

EACH BENEDICTINE ABBEY:

• WAS COMPLETELY AUTONOMOUS *

The Order of Saint Benedict is a Roman Catholic religious order of independent monastic communities that observe the Rule of Saint Benedict.

* “SCOTTISH MEDIEVAL CHURCHES.”


Professor Richard Fawcett, OBE, PhD, FRSE, FSA, FSA Scot. Most of his career was in the Inspectorate of Ancient Monuments of Historic Scotland,
THE BENEDICTINES WERE NOT “THE BUILDERS” OF IONA ABBEY 1205-1250.

EACH BENEDICTINE ABBEY:

- WAS COMPLETELY AUTONOMOUS
- HAD NO “MOTHERHOUSE”. NO “SUPERIOR” (eg, ARCHBISHOP, CELTIC COARB.) NO INSTITUTIONAL FUNDING.

“Benedictine monasticism is fundamentally different from other Western religious orders insofar as :- its individual communities are not part of a religious order with "Generalates" and "Superiors General".
THE BENEDICTINES WERE NOT “THE BUILDERS” OF IONA ABBEY 1205-1250.

EACH BENEDICTINE ABBEY:

- WAS COMPLETELY AUTONOMOUS
- HAD NO “MOTHERHOUSE”. NO “SUPERIOR” (eg, ARCHBISHOP, CELTIC COARB.) NO INSTITUTIONAL FUNDING.
- ALL THAT WAS “CORPORATE” WAS THEIR ORDER, LITURGICAL PRACTISE AND ABBEY LAYOUT, FURNISHINGS.

“Within the order, each individual community
(which may be a monastery, a priory or abbey) maintains its own autonomy.”
THE BENEDICTINES WERE **NOT** “THE BUILDERS” OF IONA ABBEY **1205-1250.**

**EACH BENEDICTINE ABBEY:**

- **WAS COMPLETELY AUTONOMOUS**
- **HAD NO “MOTHERHOUSE”. NO “SUPERIOR” (eg, ARCHBISHOP, CELTIC COARB.)** NO INSTITUTIONAL FUNDING.
- **ALL THAT WAS “CORPORATE” WAS THEIR ORDER, LITURGICAL PRACTISE AND ABBEY LAYOUT, FURNISHINGS.**
- **THEY WERE NOT PART OF THE FORMAL **ORGANISATIONAL** STRUCTURE OF, OR FUNDED BY ROMAN CHURCH.**
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- ALL THAT WAS “CORPORATE” WAS THEIR “ORDER”, LITURGICAL PRACTISE AND ABBEY LAYOUT, FURNISHINGS.
- THEY WERE NOT PART OF THE FORMAL ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE OF, OR FUNDED BY ROMAN CHURCH.
- THERE WAS ONLY THE ORIGINAL AND GENERIC BENEDICTINE MONASTIC “RULE’ (RELIGIOUS ‘PRACTICE’)
THE BENEDICTINES WERE NOT “THE BUILDERS” OF IONA ABBEY 1205-1250.

EACH BENEDICTINE ABBEY:

- WAS COMPLETELY AUTONOMOUS
- NO “MOTHERHOUSE”. NO “SUPERIOR” (eg, ARCHBISHOP, CELTIC COARB.) NO INSTITUTIONAL FUNDING.
- ALL THAT WAS “CORPORATE” WAS THEIR “ORDER”, LITURGICAL PRACTISE AND ABBEY LAYOUT, FURNISHINGS.
- THEY WERE NOT PART OF THE FORMAL ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE OF, OR FUNDED BY ROMAN CHURCH.
- THERE WAS ONLY THE ORIGINAL AND GENERIC BENEDICTINE MONASTIC “RULE” (RELIGIOUS ‘PRACTICE’)
- AS LATE AS 1216 (4TH LATERAN COUNCIL) THEIR STRUCTURE AS AN ORGANISATION WAS NOT EVEN FORMALISED........ AND WITH LIMITED SUCCESS,
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EACH BENEDICTINE ABBEY :

- WAS COMPLETELY AUTONOMOUS
- HAD NO “MOTHERHOUSE”. NO “SUPERIOR” (eg, ARCHBISHOP, CELTIC COARB.) NO INSTITUTIONAL FUNDING.
- ALL THAT WAS “CORPORATE” WAS THEIR ORDER, LITURGICAL PRACTISE AND ABBEY LAYOUT, FURNISHINGS.
- THEY WERE NOT PART OF THE FORMAL ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE OF, OR FUNDED BY ROMAN CHURCH.
- THERE WAS ONLY THE ORIGINAL AND GENERIC BENEDICTINE MONASTIC “RULE” (RELIGIOUS ‘PRACTICE’)
- AS LATE AS 1216 (4TH LATERAN COUNCIL) THEIR STRUCTURE AS AN ORGANISATION WAS NOT EVEN FORMALISED, AND WITH LIMITED SUCCESS,

1216 : THIS WAS AFTER IONA RE-FOUNDED, LET ALONE THEM HAVING A CO-ORDINATED NETWORK AND SYSTEMATIC ORGANISATION OF ANY SORT.
BUT A MAJOR FLAW WITH HOW IONA ABBEY SITE IS CURRENTLY INTERPRETED IS THE VIEW THAT:

NONE OF IT, OR VERY LITTLE, HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE EARLY CLAN DONALD.
WHY?


ST COLUM CILLE

• MANY PEOPLE ONLY PERCEIVE THE EXISTING ABBEY AS AN EXTENSION OR REVIVAL OF THE ALL PERVADING, WORLD FAMOUS EARY CHRISTAIN MONASTERY OF ST COLUM CILLE.
WHY?

EARLY CHRISTAIN (VIKING PERIOD) 560 – 1150.

ST COLUM CILLE

MANY PEOPLE ONLY PERCEIVE THE EXISTING ABBEY AS AN EXTENSION OR REVIVAL OF THE ALL PERVADING, WORLD FAMOUS EARLY CHRISTAIN MONASTERY OF ST COLUM CILLE.

MEDIEVAL PERIOD 1200-1500 :

INADEQUATE OFFICIAL “HISTORICAL” INTERPRETATION :-

(IONA CONFERENCE 2012 - Statement of Cultural Significance ; Research Framework.)

1. HISTORIC SCOTLAND STATE : THE IMPACT OF THE LORDS OF THE ISLES ON IONA ABBEY “IS NOT UNDERSTOOD” – WITH "MAJOR GAPS IN UNDERSTANDING". THEY SAY :-

2. REGINALD, KING OF THE ISLES, WAS “PROBABLY” THE FOUNDER OF THE BENEDICTINE ABBEY EARLY 12TH CENTURY;

3. NEVER ONCE MENTIONED “CLAN DONALD” IN THEIR OFFICIAL 2012 IONA CONFERENCE PAPERS.

4. ONLY THAT THE LORDS OF ISLES (AND LORDS OF LORN) WERE “UNRIVALLED MONASTIC PATRONS” AND “GENEROUS Benefactors THROUGHOUT”. THIS IS NOT A COMPREHENSIVE HISTORY.

WHY?
EARLY CHRISTAIN (VIKING PERIOD) 560 – 1150.

MANY PEOPLE ONLY PERCEIVE THE EXISTING ABBEY AS AN EXTENSION OR REVIVAL OF THE ALL PERVADING, WORLD FAMOUS EARLY CHRISTIAN MONASTERY OF ST COLUM CILLE.

MEDIEVAL 1200-1500: INADEQUATE OFFICIAL “HISTORICAL” INTERPRETATION:

1. HISTORIC SCOTLAND STATE: THE IMPACT OF THE LORDS OF THE ISLES ON IONA ABBEY “IS NOT UNDERSTOOD” – WITH “MAJOR GAPS IN UNDERSTANDING”. THEY SAY :-

2. REGINALD, KING OF THE ISLES, WAS “PROBABLY” THE FOUNDER OF THE BENEDICTINE ABBEY EARLY 12TH CENTURY;


4. THEY ARE BURIED IN ST ORAN’S CHAPEL 200 YARDS AWAY.

THE MISTS OF TIME & THE VICTOR’S INDELLIBLE PEN: 1500 – PRESENT

1. THE LORDSHIP OF THE ISLES DEFEATED AND DISINTEGRATED;
   (AND THEY ARE BURIED IN ST ORAN’S CHAPEL, 200 YARDS AWAY FROM THE ABBEY.)

2. THE REFORMATION AND DISSOLUTION OF ABBEYS;

3. ‘DAUNTING OF THE ISLES’ (READ: DESTRUCTION OF CLAN DONALD *)

4. THE RISE OF “THE CAMPBELLS”.

WHY?

EARLY CHRISTIAN (VIKING PERIOD) 560 – 1150.

MANY PEOPLE ONLY PERCEIVE THE EXISTING ABBEY AS AN EXTENSION OR REVIVAL OF THE ALL PERVADING, WORLD FAMOUS EARLY CHRISTIAN MONASTERY OF ST COLUM CILLE.

MEDIEVAL 1200-1500: INADEQUATE OFFICIAL “HISTORICAL” INTERPRETATION:
1. HISTORIC SCOTLAND STATE: THE IMPACT OF THE LORDS OF THE ISLES ON IONA ABBEY “IS NOT UNDERSTOOD” – WITH “MAJOR GAPS IN UNDERSTANDING”. THEY SAY :-
2. REGINALD, KING OF THE ISLES, WAS “PROBABLY” THE FOUNDER OF THE BENEDICTINE ABBEY EARLY 12TH CENTURY;
3. THE LORDS OF THE ISLES WERE GENEROUS BENEFAC TORS AND WERE FORFEITED 1493.

MISTS OF TIME & THE VICTOR’S INDELLIBLE PEN : 1500 – PRESENT
1. THE LORDSHIP OF THE ISLES DEFEATED AND DISINTEGRATED; BURIED 200 YARDS AWAY IN ST ORAN’S CHAPEL;
2. THE REFORMATION AND DISSOLUTION OF ABBEYS;
3. DAUNTING OF THE ISLES.
4. THE RISE OF “THE CAMPBELLS”.

CURRENT PUBLIC MYTHOLOGY THAT HAS “USURPED” THE FADED PAST IS THAT :-

1. THE CAMPBELL EARLS OF ARGYLL RAISED THE ABBEY CHURCH TO CATHEDRAL STATUS;

2. THAT 8TH DUKE GAVE IONA BACK TO THE PEOPLE... “Heavy estate taxes led the 12th Duke of Argyll to sell the island of Iona. The Hugh Fraser Foundation buys Iona for the nation; ownership transferred to the National Trust for Scotland.”

This is not a direct criticism of the 8th Duke.

It is a statement on other’s hagiographic (Saintly) gloss on him and their narrowness of cause and affect.

They make Irish panegyric (praise) poetry seem reserved.